Previous references.

1902-3
Separate Revenue

8R File No. 2437 02-03
Serial Nos. 1-4

Later references.

8R 457/1910-811-3
8R 579/1911 811-2
S.R. 124-158/102, Bl
March 1916.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1-4

Major ~~Part B.~~ for January 1903

SUBJECT Claim of the Inamdars of Sarvamanya Villages of Volapore and Kanipura in the Nanjanpud Taluk, Mysore District to the Excise Revenue from Toddy of those Villages.

Contents:

811 From the Excise Commr in Mysore
No 45/81 Dy 7th February 1903
249
Submits with his opinion the records of enquiry relating to the toddy revenue of the Mysore & Inam Volapur & Kanipura Villages in the Nanjanpud Taluk

812 To the Excise Commr in Mysore
No 668 Dy 20th June 1903
G.R. Returns the enclosures received with the above & observes that the Asst Commr's reference to rule 126 of the Abkari Rules and Regulations is incomplete and requests the Main Commr to report and explain the omission

G.P.S.—4,000—1-7-11

4

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE,
SECTION (REVENUE AND GENERAL DEPARTMENTS).
FILE No. 243 Aro
(Full Office Note.)
SERIAL No.

Subject. E. Comm's letter no. 4581/249 of 7th Feby 23
Inamdars' claims to the free revenue from toddy of the Sarvanamyan Valagere & Kanipura villages, Nanjangud Taluk, Mysore Dist.

Note. Of the 2 villages pert. to Valagere contains both a shop & a stone while the other ie. Kanipura contains only a stone being "Beduvak" or deserted. The Sanad, Jeeta Settlement & long enjoyment all support the inamdars' claim to the free revenue from toddy. The investigating Officer Hon. C. Venkatrao, states recognises the claim & states that rule 12 applies to Valagere & not to Kanipura

* 1. Statement of case in narrative form in regular sequence of subject matter or date.
2. Opinions to be stated only as supported by precedents, or general rules which should always be quoted.
3. Serial numbers in file, &c., to be always stated.
4. Dates of important documents referred should be given.
5. Write on both sides, leaving the same side as margin.

† 1. No. and date of latest letter or paper.
2. Catch words to show nature of subject.
3. Subject to be in red and body of note in black ink.

Mr. But as Valegere
Contains a grove also in
addition to a block, prefe
g. should abbey — At the
Close of his statement of
13/12 Cor. one of the licencees
ie. P. Sutcliffe & Ros. states his
groves have subsided badly
to often blocks also. 26
the Valegere grove has
so subsided pales 10 or 11
or both should also
abbey - the Excise Comm-
issioners to have ignored
this statement as he
states in his letter that
only pales 2, 5 & 9 are
applicable to this Case.
A draft Concession with
this view is asked for abovent

Mr. Price
14/5/03. The asst Com'rs reference
to Rule 12 is a mistake but it
is not clear where the Excise
Com'rs obtained data to be able

3

I say that rule 9 also applies to Valgere Village. The statement of the Mandar on the other hand seems to suggest that either rule 10 or 11 also applies in which case payment of tree-tax on such trees as are tapped for toddy to the Govt. Shops is payable to Govt. & not to the Mandar

Rent levied for the year 1898

Further information on this point may be called for before final orders are passed.

16/5/03

Please call for report on this matter &c.

In the statement, the amount awarded is not stated & is said to depend on the No. of trees tapped &c Who has to ascertain this & when? Is it not meant that it shd

e d... ance for all? (R. 28)

how are other cases, being dea

cided?

18/873

cted:

A draft-Calendar for a report

is called

In none of the Cases of the suppose

Lists hitherto dealt with the

account demanded is entered

in the Calendars or Statements

About 9 Cases have been discovered

of without this information

leaving it to the Executive Comm

& by Comm, who have necessarily

dealt with them, to settle the

amount due actually due to

the inundators when ~~the~~ payments

made to them - The headings

in the Calendars suggests

that the investigating officers

the by Comm should settle

the amounts due up to the date

of enquiry & enter them in the

Calendars - In one or two cases

this inform is called for -

5.03

Most of the interrogating Officers seem to think that their duty ends when they decide if the Claimant is entitled to exemption or not - and that they need not proceed to make an award under the rules for past years. This finding has not been checked by either the Dist Comr or the Exemption Comr and the result is that Govt. have either to pass orders on the existing data, or return nearly every case for re-enquiry

leaving the Exemption Comr to calculate the payments

After all, a remand seems the better course, as, even if Govt. pass orders without further information, payments cannot be made

(6)

& the Inandar without making another enquiry as to the farm rents realized in former years, the no. of trees tapped, the shop-rents realized &c

17/5/03

2) Another peculiarity in the proceedings of the investigating officer is that he relies on the Inandar's statement as to whether there is a grove or shop in the village or not & whether trees are tapped for toddy & other shops or not. & though in the vast majority of cases the statement is likely to be correct, it seems desirable that such evidence shd be supplemented by that of an officer of the Excise Dept.

19/5/03

cc ? The draft may issue.

I think it would be best to settle the amount due once for all. May a general order on this subject be issued? This may be added in the ~~25~~ 24/5/3 Spl. order already submitted for approval.

The Dewan through the 2nd (1807) Councillor

Ex. 149
23-5-03
comp copy

I agree. The draft proposed conveys certain observations which I think are quite correct. May Thyagaraja Iyer's general observations have also my approval and may form the subject of a separate Circular order if it is too late now to embody them in the order the draft of which I dealt with a few days ago. The Ruler raised after ~~the~~ last ~~Durbar~~ consideration does not seem to leave much room for doubt. Much however depends upon the way they are understood by our officers for which guidance therefore [illegible] by clear instructions this

[illegible] 25/5.

RD 25.5.03
004 10465

Rule Continued

with reference to the Demands
Statement that Licences
are supplied today to the other
shops also are enquiries were
made whether rule 10 or 11
or both was not applicable
to this Case. In reply to this the
Excise Comm reported in no
7600 - 5887/12/16 (Cos under Reform?
that no allusion was made
to these rules as the Demands
for nothing under these rules
as under rule 10 the amount
goes to Govt & under rule 11th
goes to ~~other~~ inhabitants of
other villages — In spite of
this it seems necessary that
a reference should be made to
these rules in order to make it
clear to the inhabitants that
they will not have to pay taxes
levied for other shops & that
all the rules applicable to
each Case should be specified.

Draft called for & Recommd. Corr issued
to Secy Ll 4/7/03

Case
Letter

(9)

Has not a general order been issued embodying these obsns?

6/7/03

6/7/03

Subd no genl order has been issued. These observations cannot be embodied in the Subsidiary instructions issued in G.O. no 638-47/R.t.166-92 d 28/5/03 as their file had not been returned to office. Nor could a general order be issued later on as the Divan had passed no orders on the grounds of the 2nd Comm[issio]n[e]r d 25/5/03 on pole 7 of their note

As these observations are applicable to this case also they have been inserted in the draft.

Issue 7.7.03

Para 2 in the draft is superfluous as it has been embodied in the printed general order d 28/5/03

Para 3 seems necessary & may be
retained as para 2, omitting "also"
as it has not been embodied in
the general order of 28/5/03

2/7/03

To the [illegible], through the Ind. Councillor.

The claim has been scanned & may be admitted.
I agree to the draft
17-7-03.

11569
20.VII.03

Ex-256

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
Regd. No. 8893
14 FEB. 1903
190

SECTION S. R.

FILE No. 2430/02-03
To

SERIAL No. 1

FROM

P. Purnaiya Esqr
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

H. V. Ranjundiah Esqr
Secretary to Government
General & Revenue Departm

Dated 7th February 1903.

Despatched 11 —— do

Received

General No. 4581

No. 249

Enclosure No. 1 file

Submits with his opinion the records of enquiry relating to the Toddy revenue of Inam Volagaré and Kanipura villages in the Ranjangud taluk.

Exmi
14.2.03

~~Don~~ Note & Order
Ended
Issue
14/2/03

Excise Commissioner's office

No: 4581 Genl file
24

Bangalore, dated 7th February 1903
11

From

B. R. Purnaiya Esqr.
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

To

H. V. Ranjundiah Esqr
Secretary to Government
General & Revenue Departments

Sir,

In submitting herewith the records of enquiry relating to the Toddy revenue of Imam Valagaré and Kanipura villages in the Ranjungud taluk, I have the honor to state that I concur in the investigating officer's opinion that the Inamdar is entitled to Excise Revenue from Toddy for both villages. There is both a Toddy shop and a date grove in Valagaré, but in Kanipura which is a

Béchirak

Béchirak village, there is only a date grove. Accordingly Excise Revenue is payable to him, subject to Rules 2, 5, 9 and 11 in Government Proceedings No: 2074-83 / D.F. 166-92, dated 2nd August 190

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

For Excise Commissioner

10 in Tuticorin

Exhibit B&C 1

1234

The Inam villages of Valagere &
Kanchura in the Mangalore
Taluk are divided into Vrithis
and enjoyed by Samachar & Theva
the present applicant. P. Subba
Rao ~~is one capitalist~~ is one of
the Vrithidars in the enjoyments
of the villages.

The Inam title deed &
Settlement Register proved
show that the claim of Taddy
been conceded to Inamdars.

As Subba Rao says that Kanchi
is a Brahmin village having
no shop, he is entitled to

only tree rent - under clause 14 sec IV

In regard to the Meerut Village of

Valapere he is entitled to Zoody

Revenue & tree rent - under clause

12 of sec IV minus the establishment to Local Cess.

6.12.02 A.C

Calendar of claims to Lady Revenue enquiry - Nagar Sub Division, Bhadravathi District

Nanjangud.	1	Name of Taluk
Kalale.	2	Name of Hobli, Sammat or Magam.
Valagere and Kanipura.	3	Name of Sarva Inam, Jodi or Kayamgutta
P. Subba Rao & Others,	4	Name of Inamdar or Kayamgattadar
1 Sannad dated 1st August 1819. 2. Shruvavundige of the two villages. 3 Inam title deeds and Settlement Registers Submitted.	5	Documents relied upon.
P. Subba Rao.	6	Witnesses examined

Dated 14th December 1922

claim for tree rent to Kanipura village and claim for Excise revenue to Valagere village recognized	7	Finding
Depends upon the number of trees tapped and the amount realized	8	Amount awarded if any
The Inam title deed and Settlement register produced show that the item of Toddy has been conceded to the Inamdar. Sri Subba Row says that Kanipura is a Bechirakh village having no shop, he is entitled to only tree rent under clause 14 Section IV. In regard to the other village of Valagere he is entitled to Toddy Revenue and tree rent under clause 12th Section IV minus the cost of establishment and Local cess	9	Grounds of decision

Vide order by the Special Sub Division Officer Sirsi in Appeal Case No. 10 of 1911-12

Sd/- [illegible]
Asst. Commissioner

668

Rec 4/7/1903 (enclosure)

SECTION 811 Dr 2/6/03
FILE No. 243 of 02-03
SERIAL No. 2

To The Revenue Commr. in Mysore

His letter no. 4581/249 dt. 7th February 1903 submitting the record of enquiry relative to the inamdars claim to the toddy presence of the Sawa manyam villages of Valagere & Kanipura in the Nanjangud Taluk, Mysore District.

In returning the enclosures received with the above he has the honor to observe that the record in reference to para 12 is a mistake & that the statement of the inamdars

13th Decr 01 that his grave supplies today & the
Chaps in 1898
suggested to the petitioner
88
10 or 11 & also advised
to this Case & the Excise Com^rs to
Sd applics. requested & report if action
to be informed why applts d/ do & have
it not acted to explain the omission
be extended -

the accounts amended
under for the previous
Years should have
been entered in the
Calendar -

Hms
B.C. 1/8/03

Hms
27.8.03

Secy

Hms
19.8.03

Ef 555 of 1761/03. Gl:13509 17-6-D. 1903.

SECTION 8N

FILE No. 2430/02 Excise

SERIAL No. 3

FROM V. N. Sunnaiya Esq BA Commissioner in Mysore

To H. V. Nanjundayya Esq BA BL Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore General and Revenue Department.

Dated 12th
Despatched 16th } June. 1903.
Received

General No. 7600
No. 578
Enclosure 1 file

Submits with opinion the record of enquiry relating to the Toddy Revenue of Volagere and Kanipura Iruvillages in the Nanjungud taluk.

Encn
1761

Field with Office note.
Continued Ladorgal

True
4/7/02

No 7601/578 Excise Commissioner's Office

Dated, Bangalore 12th/16th June 1903.

From

B. N. Ramaiya Esquire B.A.,
Excise Commissioner in Mysore.

To

K. V. Nanjundayya Esquire M.A. B.L.
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore
General and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

In resubmitting herewith the record of enquiry relating to the Toddy Revenue of Volagere and Kanchura Inam villages in the Nanjungud Taluk, I have the honor to solicit attention to letter No. 93, dated 22nd January 1903, from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, a copy of which has been submitted with this office letter No. 7229/487, dated 27th May 1903.

2. The Assistant Commissioner's reference to Rule 12, is clearly a mistake.

3. As no payments have to be made to the Inamdars of the aforesaid villages under rule 10 or 11, but as the several items

11

therein referred to, are payable to Govern-
-ment under Rule 10, and to Shere
alienated villages under Rule 11, neither
Rule 10 nor Rule 11 has been alluded to
in this office letter no $\frac{4581}{249}$, dated $\frac{7}{11}$ th
February 1903.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

For Excise Commissioner,
Z. in Mysore.

of the panwadi the known settlement and by long enjoyment is allowed subject to rules 2, 5, 9, 10, 11 & 14 contained in G.O. no 2074-83 Rt 166-92 dt 2/8/01

2 The records are sent up in many cases without mention in the Calendars of the exact amounts due to the parties for past years. Such cases cannot be accepted as finally disposed of, as inquiry would be necessary before payments can be actually

made to the dam-
dars concerned.
It is therefore re-
quested that
complete information
maybe given in
future reports.
2 It has also to
be observed that
many investigating
officers rely solely
on the statements
of the claimants
for material facts
such as the existence
of graves or shrines
in the villages, and
to the extent of
actual taffsing.
Though perhaps
such statements
are likely to be

accurate enough
in most cases, it
seems desirable
that such further
evidence as may
be procurable
(such as the state-
ments of Excise or
village officials)
should be recorded.

22.VII

24/7
Secretary

To Mr Excise Comm in
response returning
records
Mr Comptroller
Mr Dr Comm of Dios for
information
Evidence

True
7.7.02

25/7/03

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Excise, dated 29th July 1903.

READ—

(1) Letter No. 4581—249, dated 7—11th February 1903, from the Excise Commissioner in Mysore, submitting, with his opinion, the record of enquiry relating to the Inamdars' claim to the toddy revenue of the Sarvamanyam villages of Volagere and Kanipura in the Nanjangud Taluk, Mysore District.

AND—

(2) Letter No. 7600—518, dated 12—16th June 1903, from the same officer stating with reference to docket No. 668—Ex. F. 12-01, dated 2nd June 1903, that no allusion was made in his report to Rule 10 or 11 of the Rules issued with Government Order of the 2nd August 1901, as the revenue under the former is payable to Government and that under the latter to other alienated villages.

No. 68-77—Ex. F. 12-01, DATED BANGALORE, 29TH JULY 1903

ORDER THEREON.—Of the two villages of Volagere and Kanipura referred to above, the former contains both a toddy shop and a grove, while the latter contains only a grove. The Inamdars' claim to the Excise revenue from toddy, which is supported by the terms of the Sannad and the Inam Settlement and by long enjoyment, is allowed subject to Rules 2, 5, 9, 10, 11 and 14 contained in Government Order No. 2074-83—R. F. 166-92, dated 2nd August 1901.

2. It has to be observed that many investigating officers rely solely on the statement of the claimants for material facts such as the existence of groves or shops in the villages, and to the extent of actual tapping. Though perhaps such statements are likely to be accurate enough in most cases, it seems desirable that such further evidence as may be procurable (such as the statements of Excise or village officials) should be recorded.

H. V. NANJUNDAYYA,
Secy. to Govt., Gen. & Rev. Depts.

To—The Excise Commissioner in Mysore, returning records.
The Comptroller to the Government of Mysore,
The Deputy Commissioners of Districts, for information and guidance.

Exd.—C.V.

1903-04

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

EXCISE

PROCEEDINGS

Dated 29th July 1903

No. 68-77
Ex. F. 12-01

Allowing the claim of the Inamdars of the Sarvamanyam villages of Volagere and Kanipura in the Nanjangud Taluk, Mysore District, to the Excise revenue from toddy of those villages.

SBP—10-8-03—300